

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ESTATE OF TAMARA M. DARROW, on  
behalf of the deceased and all statutory  
beneficiaries by and through its personal  
representative JOHN DARROW; JOHN  
DARROW AND JULIA DARROW, both  
individually as parents of the deceased,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey  
corporation, KENVUE, INC., a Delaware  
corporation, JOHNSON & JOHNSON  
CONSUMER, INC., a New Jersey  
corporation, and JOHNSON & JOHNSON  
CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-CV-01996-RSM

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY  
ALL PROCEEDINGS

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion to Stay All Proceedings. Dkt.  
#5. Defendants collectively request the Court stay all proceedings in this action pending the  
likely establishment of *In re Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Marketing, Sales Practices and  
Products Liability Litigation*, which would transfer this case for coordinated pretrial proceedings.  
*Id.* at 1-2. Plaintiff did not respond to this Motion. To avoid waste of judicial resources,

1 inconsistent rulings, and duplicative practices unfairly prejudicial to the parties, Defendants'  
2 Motion to Stay is GRANTED.

3 Plaintiffs allege that Decedent Tamara M. Darrow developed ovarian cancer and died  
4 from using talcum powder products produced by Defendants. Dkt. #1-1 at 10. This is one of  
5 more than thirty pending lawsuits brought by Defendants' consumers with similar allegations.  
6 On October 4, 2016, the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("the Panel") issued a  
7 Transfer Order to the U.S. District Court of New Jersey to coordinate pretrial proceedings  
8 because "all the actions share common factual questions arising out of allegations that perineal  
9 use of Johnson & Johnson's talcum powder products can cause ovarian or uterine cancer in  
10 women." Dkt. #5-2 at 1-4.  
11

12  
13 Courts consider three factors in determining whether to grant a motion to stay pending  
14 possible transfer to an MDL proceeding: (1) potential prejudice to the non-moving party; (2)  
15 inequity and hardship to the moving party if the action is not stayed; and (3) potential judicial  
16 resources saved by avoiding duplicative litigation if the cases are consolidated. *See Rivers v.*  
17 *Walt Disney Co.*, 980 F. Supp. 1358, 1360 (C.D. Cal. 1997).  
18

19 In the interest of preserving judicial resources and to avoid hardship and prejudice to the  
20 parties, the Court finds that staying the case is warranted. Having considered the briefing and  
21 the remainder of the record, pending transfer of this matter to MDL No. 2378, *In re Johnson &*  
22 *Johnson Talcum Powder Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, the Court  
23 hereby finds and ORDERS the following:  
24

25 1) Defendants' Motion to Stay All Proceedings, Dkt. #5, is GRANTED.

26 2) This case is stayed until further action by this Court or transfer by the U.S. Judicial  
27 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.  
28

1 3) The parties are relieved of any pleading, discovery, or other obligation until further  
2 notice.

3 4) Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, Dkt. #14, is  
4 STRICKEN and removed from the Court's Calendar with leave to refile if necessary.

5 5) This case is administratively closed pending a ruling on such transfer.

6  
7 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024.

8  
9 

10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28